

REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 15 and 16 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1, 15 and 16 are amended, new claims 17-23 are added, and no claims are canceled.

Claims 1, 15 and 16 are amended to recite “at least one of the pages being identified as a home page,” in combination with the other elements of each claim. Claims 15 and 16 are also amended to recite infusion pumps. Support for the amendments, as well as for new claims 17-23, can be found throughout the application as filed, for example in the title, at page 10, line 14 – page 12, line 7, and in FIGS. 2-27. Therefore, no new matter has been added.

Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 12-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2005/0137530 to Campbell et al. (“Campbell”), U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0193679 to Malave et al. (“Malave”) and U.S. Patent No. 4,854,324 to Hirschman (“Hirschman”). Claims 5 and 11 stand rejected under § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell, Malave, Hirshman and U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0114836 to Estes et al. (“Estes”).

Applicants note that claims 8-14 were previously canceled in the Preliminary Amendment filed April 29, 2010. The rejections of pending claims 1-7, 15 and 16 are respectfully traversed.

Amended claims 1, 15 and 16 now recite “at least one of the pages being identified as a home page,” in combination with the other elements of each claim. This is neither disclosed nor

suggested by the cited references, whether taken alone or when combined as suggested in the Office Action.

As previously submitted, Campbell fails to disclose a home page; refer to page 6 of the Preliminary Amendment filed April 29, 2010. Even if the “one or more screens” of Campbell could be considered a home page as such a page is understood by one having skill in the art, which Applicants do not concede, nothing in Campbell discloses or suggests that one of the screens is identified as a home page, as now required by amended claims 1, 15 and 16.

Malave and Hirschman fail to remedy the deficiencies of Campbell with respect to a home screen and a home screen being identified as such, as now recited in amended claims 1, 15 and 16. Nothing in either reference discloses or suggests even a home screen, much less at least one page being identified as a home page. Though not cited with respect to any of claims 1, 15 or 16, Estes fails to remedy the deficiencies of Campbell, Malave and Hirschman.

Therefore, claims 1, 15 and 16 are allowable. The remaining claims, including new claims 17-23, each variously depend from one of claims 1, 15 and 16 and are therefore also now allowable, the rejections of claims 2-7 being traversed but not expressly argued herein in view of the allowability of the underlying base claims.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if the Examiner believes it would be useful to advance prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Amy Salmela". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Amy" and last name "Salmela" clearly distinguishable.

Amy M. Salmela
Registration No. 55910

Customer No. 24113
Patterson Thunte Christensen Pedersen, P.A.
4800 IDS Center
80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2100
Telephone: 612.252.1538